LENGTH OF PATERNAL EDUCATION IS ASSOCIATED WITH HEIGHT-FOR-AGE OF SCHOOL CHILDREN IN RURAL AREA OF SEPATAN TIMUR-TANGERANG

Lama Pendidikan Ayah Berhubungan dengan Tinggi Badan menurut Umur Anak Sekolah Dasar di Wilayah Pedesaan, Sepatan Timur-Tangerang

Dudung Angkasa, Nadiyah, Laras Sitoayu, Idrus Jus'at

Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universitas Esa Unggul, Jakarta

E-mail: dudung.angkasa@esaunggul.ac.id

Diterima: 12-02-2018

Direvisi: 06-03-2018

Disetujui terbit: 06-03-2018

ABSTRACT

Paternal educational status plays an important role in long-term nutritional status of children. The objective of this study was to investigate the association between paternal factors and school children nutritional status in rural setting, Indonesia. A cross-sectional study carried out in September up to November 2015 involving 368 primary public school children in Sepatan Timur, Tangerang. Structured questionnaires were administered to parents, containing household characteristics such as length of school year, working status, number of siblings. Children weight and height were measured using a weighing scale and microtoise, respectively. Anthropometric indices, height-for-age (HAZ) and body mass index for-age (BAZ), were produced by using WHO-Antroplus. Children's food intake and snacking habits were assessed using single 24 hours food recall and food frequency questionnaire, respectively. Others variables were physical activity and infectious disease history. Multiple regression analyses were employed to enquire research questions. Results indicated that children with father's educational status less than 9 years had a significant 0.607 lower HAZ if compared to those educational status more or equal to 9 years after adjustment for mother's schooling year, working status, number of household member, children's history of diarrhea and physical activity status, sex, age and snacking frequency. Conclusion, father's educational status was associated with height for age among school children in rural area of Sepatan Timur.

Keywords: paternal educational status, school children, nutritional status, rural area

ABSTRAK

Pendidikan orang tua berperan penting dalam menentukan status gizi anak dalam jangka panjang. Penelitian bertujuan menganalisis hubungan faktor orang tua dengan status gizi anak sekolah di wilayah pedesaan. Penelitian menggunakan desain potong lintang dilaksanakan selama September-November 2015 dengan melibatkan 368 anak sekolah dasar negeri di Sepatan Timur, Tangerang. Kuesioner terstruktur diberikan pada orang tua untuk mengetahui lama sekolah, status pekerjaan, dan jumlah anak. Berat dan tinggi badan akan diukur dengan timbangan badan dan *microtoise* kemudian dihitung indeks antropometri tinggi badan menurut umur (TB/U) dan indeks massa tubuh menurut umur (IMT/U). Konsumsi sampel dinilai dengan *food recall* 24 jam satu hari dan kuesioner frekuensi makanan. Variabel lain yang diamati ialah aktivitas fisik dan riwayat infeksi. Analisis Regresi berganda digunakan untuk menjawab tujuan. Hasil menunjukkan bahwa sampel dari ayah yang berpendidikan <9 tahun lebih rendah skor TB/U sebesar 0,607 poin dibandingkan sampel dari ayah yang berpendidikan ≥9 tahun setelah dikontrol lama pendidikan ibu, status pekerjaan, jumlah anak, riwayat diare, aktivitas fisik, jenis kelamin, umur dan frekuensi jajan anak. Penelitian ini menyimpulkan bahwa pendidikan ayah yang rendah berhubungan dengan tinggi badan anak pada anak sekolah di wilayah pedesaan, Sepatan Timur.

Kata kunci: pendidikan ayah, status gizi, anak sekolah, pedesaan

INTRODUCTION

Malnutrition, a state in which a deficiency, excess or imbalance of energy, protein and other nutrients, among school age children may result impaired immune system, reduction of linear physical growth potential, and poor cognitive function.¹ In adulthood, malnutrition may reduce work productivity and as a higher risk of non-communicable diseases such as cardiovascular disease.^{2,3} National Institute of Health Research and Development in 2013 shows that approximately 30.7%, 11.2% and 18.8% of Indonesian children age 5-12 years old were stunted, wasted and overweight, respectively.⁴ The prevalence of malnutrition was higher in rural area. Study by Sandjaja et al. reported that stunting among school age children were higher in rural area (37.3%) than urban area (23.8%). By sex distribution, about 40.3% stunting among school age children in rural were male.⁵ Based on public health cut off, current stunting prevalence was classified as high prevalence (30-39%).⁶ Except from overweight, rural area tend to have higher prevalence of underweight especially among male children. School age is a critical period since it is the last chance for children to catch up the growth next to golden age 0-2 years old. Failure to intervene at this period may increase accumulative adverse effect of malnutrition thus would decrease country's human development index.⁷

Malnutrition occurred by several interrelated factors such as food intake, infection and parenting care pattern. Among those, parental care pattern plays an important and sustainable roles in children growth and development⁸ since they are responsible in purchase and prepare food⁹⁻¹¹ as well as introducing eating behaviour.^{11,12}

Current study take place in Banten province, Indonesia. This province included in one of poorest provinces in term of availability of appropriate drinking-water sources, clean and healthy life style, and one of fifth highest province for occurrence of diarrheal infection.⁴ Although there are several improvement, our previous studies^{13,14} still observed poor sanitation and hygiene practices as well as poor parental care among underfive and school age children particularly in study area. There was limited study exploring the parental factors

towards school children nutritional status in rural setting of Tangerang. Available study was done by Rahayu et al.¹⁵ among underfive children during 3 years follow up. They found that parental factors, particularly, fathers educational status associated significantly with children nutritional status. However, their study did not control for infectious disease history and physical activity level of school children. Current work is conducted cross-sectionally among school children in study area and try to control for potential confounding factors

METHODS

A cross-sectional study was conducted in Sepatan Timur Sub-District, District of Tangerang, Banten Province, Indonesia from October to November 2015. Geographically, district of Tangerang has a diverse area characteristic. North of Tangerang district is a coastal area with coastal line reach about 50 km. The land height in this area is approximately 0-85 meter above the sea level. West district is an urban area where industrial and city center is established. East area is mostly rural. Sepatan timur is located in rural area. Based on health office report, total school age children was 8988.

Using a WHO sample size calculator for survey with 95% confidence level, 5% precision, non-response rate 5% and population proportion for stunting 37% resulted in minimum sample size was 377. Lists of all children aged between 9 to 12 years are obtained from 12 out of 18 primary public schools. Schools were selected randomly while samples were selected proportionately by considering the number of students from each class at selected schools. Children who did not return inform consent, had physical disability, suffering from severe infectious disease e.g. tuberculosis, were excluded from the study. Informed consent was obtained from all parents.

Structured questionnaires were given to parents and administered by parents, containing household characteristics such as length of school in year, working status, number of siblings. Children weight and height were measured by trained personnel using a weighing scale and microtoise, respectively. Anthropometric indices of height-for-age (HAZ) and body mass index for-age (BAZ) were

produced by using software WHO AnthroPlus. Other confounding factors such as history of having infectious diseases at the last month and physical activities at last two weeks also were collected by structured questionnaire. School children food intakes were also collected by single 24 hours food recall and presented as energy (Kcal), carbohydrate (g), protein (g), and fat (g). Lastly, children were asked about their snacking frequency or food pattern at the last two weeks. Food portion size was determined by market survey in study area. Nutrisurvey program was used to produce energy and macronutrients data which subsequently exported to SPSS version 22.0. Multiple regression analyses were employed to answer research questions. Ethical approval was granted by the ethical committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences, Universitas Esa Unggul under the serial number 067/FIKES/II/2015.

RESULT

Of the 377 samples invited for the study, nine samples did not complete the weight and height measurement. In total 368 school children and parents were included in analysis. The characteristics of the school children's parents are shown in Table 1 and are presented as the n (%), mean $\pm$ standard deviation and regression coefficient with 95% confidence interval. Except from mother and father schooling years, there's no significant association between parental' characteristic with children height-for-age z -score (HAZ). Mother and father with schooling years less than 9 years were significantly associated with 0.44 and 0.41 point reduction, respectively on children's HAZ.

Tabel 2 shows 20.1% of school children were stunting, 24.5% were severe thinness and thinness and 12.2% were overweight. Except from age, weight and height, there's no significant association between children sex, history of infectious disease, and physical activity level with HAZ and BAZ. Interestingly, we found school children with regular breakfast will significantly reduce height-for-age z score by -0.68 points. Although, most school children had a regular breakfast their's snacking frequency were more than 3 times a day. Except from fat intake, energy, protein and carbohydrate intake below the median had at

greater reduction for height-for-age z score. Breakfast, snacking habits as well as energy and macro-nutrients intake were not significantly associated with body mass index-for-age z-score (Tabel 3).

Tabel 4 demonstrated type and frequency of breakfast meal which were consumed by school children. Related to carbohydrate-food sources, white rice is the most favorite for breakfast staple food followed by instant noodle, fried rice and bread. Fried-fish is the most favorite protein-food source for breakfast followed by tempeh, tofu and poached egg. We found vegetable soup and stir fried vegetable are the most favorite dish for breakfast. Since less than a half of children (131 of 368 for vegetable soup and 98 of 368 for stir vegetables) reported vegetables consumption during breakfast, it indicated low vegetable intake to start the day. Mineral water, followed by added-sugar tea and condensed-milk are the most favorite drinking for breakfast. Related to snacking food, wheat- and sago- flour based are the most favorite snacking food, followed by vegetables and meat/chicken based products.

In multivariables analysis, we found that father with schooling years <9 and children with regularly taking breakfast were associated significantly with 0.312 and 0.631 lower HAZ if compared to children whose father's schooling years >9 years and children with irregular breakfast, respectively. Neither parental nor children factors are associated with children BAZ after adjustment for other's schooling year, working status, household's number of children, children's history of diarrhea and physical activity status, sex, age and snacking frequency. Since we speculated that father tend to put higher attention to son, we tried to interact the father's schooling year and children sex. In model 2, except from a consistent negative association between father's schooling years with children HAZ, we found insignificant interaction between father's schooling years with children sex (Table 5).

Table 1
Parental characteristic of school children in study area¹ (N=368)

Variables	n (%)	HAZ ⁵		BAZ ⁶	
		Mean (SD) ⁷	Unadjusted β (95% CI) ⁸	Mean (SD) ⁷	Unadjusted β (95% CI) ⁸
Mother's schooling year ² ,					
<9	312 (84.8)	-1.15 (1.09)	-0.44 (-0.76; -0.11)*	-0.82 (1.53)	0.23 (-0.20; 0.67)
≥9	56 (15.2)	-0.72 (1.31)	Reference	-1.05 (1.51)	Reference
Father's schooling years ² ,					
<9	266 (72.3)	-1.20 (1.07)	-0.41 (-0.67; -0.15)*	-0.81 (1.54)	0.14 (-0.20; 0.49)
≥9	101 (27.4)	-0.79 (1.24)	Reference	-0.95 (1.46)	Reference
Mother's working status ³					
Working	50 (13.6)	-1.10 (1.14)	-0.03 (-0.37; 0.31)	-0.86 (1.51)	-0.12 (-0.58; 0.34)
Not-working	316 (85.9)	-1.07 (1.12)	Reference	-0.74 (1.64)	Reference
Father's working status ⁴					
Working	344 (93.5)	-1.09 (1.13)	-0.06 (-1.38; 1.26)	-0.89 (1.55)	-0.21 (-1.97; 1.56)
Not-working	3 (0.8)	-1.15 (2.92)	Reference	-1.09 (0.78)	Reference
Number of children					
<3	222 (39.7)	-1.02 (1.11)	0.18 (-0.06; 0.42)	-0.83 (1.48)	-0.06 (-0.26; 0.39)
≥3	146 (60.3)	-1.19 (1.17)	Reference	-0.89 (1.59)	Reference

¹All value are n (%) otherwise indicated; ²equivalent with junior/middle high school; ³N=366; ⁴N=347; ⁵Height-for-age z score; ⁶body mass index for age z score; ⁷Standard Deviation;

⁸Simple linear regression; *significant at p<0.05

Table 2
School children's characteristic based on age, nutritional status, and history of infectious disease at last one month¹ (N=368)

Variables	n (%)	HAZ ²		BAZ ³	
		Mean (SD ⁶)	Unadjusted β (95% CI) ⁸	Mean (SD ⁶)	Unadjusted β (95% CI) ⁸
Age, year	10.2 (9.55; 10.60) ⁷	--	--	--	--
≤ 10.2		--	0.38 (0.15; 0.61)*	--	0.11 (-0.20; 0.42)
> 10.2		--	Reference	--	Reference
Children sex					
Boy	179 (48.6)	-1.13 (1.04)	-0.074 (-0.31; 0.16)	-0.87 (1.72)	-0.026 (-0.340; 0.288)
Girl	189 (51.4)	-1.05 (1.22)	Reference	-0.84 (1.32)	Reference
Weight, kg	26 (23-30) ⁷	--	-1.07 (-1.27; -0.86)*	--	-2.14 (-2.36; -1.91)*
≤ 26		--	Reference	--	Reference
> 26		--	--	--	--
Height, cm	131.7 (127-136.2) ⁷	--	-1.44 (-1.62; -1.26)*	--	-0.61 (-0.92; -0.30)*
≤ 131.7		--	Reference	--	Reference
> 131.7		--	--	--	--
HAZ ²					
Stunting	74 (20.1)	-2.62 (0.45)	--	-1.60 (1.25)	--
Normal	294 (79.9)	-0.70 (0.91)	--	-0.66 (1.53)	--
BAZ ³					
Severe thinness	30 (8.2)	-1.34 (1.07)	--	-3.43 (0.32)	--
Thinness	60 (16.3)	-1.44 (1.02)	--	-2.45 (0.29)	--
Normal	233 (63.3)	-1.09 (1.13)	--	-0.62 (0.78)	--
Overweight	45 (12.2)	-0.43 (1.06)	--	1.81 (0.68)	--
History of infectious disease ⁴					
Fever, yes	207 (56.3)	-1.05 (1.19)	0.07 (-0.17; 0.30)	-0.93 (1.61)	-0.19 (-0.50; 0.13)
Influenza, yes	262 (71.2)	-1.09 (1.14)	-0.03 (-0.28; 0.23)	-0.92 (1.53)	-0.23 (-0.58; 0.11)
Cough, yes	284 (77.2)	-1.06 (1.17)	0.12 (-0.16; 0.39)	-0.83 (1.52)	0.09 (-0.28; 0.47)
Diarrhoea, yes	76 (20.7)	-1.26 (1.28)	-0.22 (-0.50; 0.07)	-0.84 (1.44)	0.01 (-0.37; 0.40)
Dengue Fever, yes	9 (2.4)	-1.10 (0.98)	-0.01 (-0.77; 0.74)	-1.47 (1.73)	-0.64 (-1.65; 0.37)
Thypoid, yes	37 (10.1)	-1.08 (1.14)	0.01 (-0.38; 0.40)	-1.03 (1.33)	-0.19 (-0.71; 0.33)
Physical activity level ⁵					
Light	87 (23.6)	-0.99 (1.01)	Reference	-0.75 (1.44)	Reference
Mild	139 (37.8)	-1.06 (1.25)	-0.07 (-0.37; 0.24)	-0.81 (1.59)	-0.06 (-0.47; 0.35)
Heavy	142 (38.6)	-1.17 (1.09)	-0.18 (-0.48; 0.13)	-0.96 (1.50)	-0.22 (-0.63; 0.19)

¹All value are n (%) otherwise indicated; ²Height-for-age z score; ³body mass index for age z score; ⁴Type and occurrence of infectious disease suffered by respondent at last one month; ⁵standard physical activity level questionnaire comprise from common physical and homework activity, transportation, exercise and play game, sitting time, resting time and sleeping time; ⁶Standard Deviation; ⁷Median (Interquartile range, Q25th-Q75th); ⁸Simple linear regression; *significant at p<0.05

Table 3
Food pattern and nutrient intake of school children¹ (N=368)

Variables	n (%)	HAZ ²		BAZ ³	
		Mean (SD ⁵)	Unadjusted β (95% CI) ⁷	Mean (SD ⁵)	Unadjusted β (95% CI) ⁷
Regular breakfast					
Yes	337 (91.6)	-1.14 (1.11)	-0.68 (-1.09; -0.26)*	-0.85 (1.54)	0.04 (-0.53; 0.60)
No	31 (8.4)	-0.46 (1.23)	Ref	-0.89 (1.43)	Ref
Snacking frequency					
≥ 3 times/day	200 (54.3)	-1.03 (1.11)	0.12 (-0.12; 0.35)	-0.85 (1.57)	0.01 (-0.30; 0.33)
< 3 times/day	168 (45.7)	-1.15 (1.16)	Ref	-0.86 (1.47)	Ref
Energy and nutrient intake ⁴					
Energy, Kcal/day	989 (849; 1211) ⁶				
< 989		-1.12 (1.21)	-0.07 (-0.29; 0.17)	-0.82 (1.63)	0.06 (-0.25; 0.37)
> 989		-1.06 (1.06)	Ref	-0.88 (1.42)	Ref
%energy allowance	50.97 (42.8; 61.5) ⁶				
Carbohydrate, g/d	134.2 (108.2; 162.7) ⁶				
< 134.2		-1.13 (1.19)	-0.08 (-0.31; 0.16)	-0.95 (1.61)	-0.18 (-0.49; 0.13)
> 134.2		-1.05 (1.09)	Ref	-0.76 (1.43)	Ref
%energy intake	53.3 (45.5; 61.9) ⁶				
Protein, g/d	33.8 (26.4; 42.2) ⁶				
< 33.8		-1.11 (1.21)	-0.05 (-0.28; 0.19)	-0.90 (1.54)	-0.09 (-0.41; 0.22)
> 33.8		-1.06 (1.06)	Ref	-0.80 (1.52)	Ref
%energy intake	13.2 (11.2; 15.6) ⁶				
Fat, g/d	35.9 (25.7; 46.9) ⁶				
< 35.9		-1.04 (1.21)	0.10 (-0.13; 0.33)	-0.79 (1.52)	0.11 (-0.20; 0.43)
> 35.9		-1.14 (1.06)	Ref	-0.91 (1.53)	Ref
%energy intake	32.4 (23.7; 40.7) ⁶				

¹All value are n (%) otherwise indicated; ²Height-for-age z score; ³Body mass index for age z score; ⁴Derived from single 24 hours food recall; ⁵Standard Deviation; ⁶Median (Interquartile range, Q25th-Q75th); ⁷Simple linear regression; *significant at p<0.05

Table 4
Type of breakfast meal consumed by school children at last two weeks¹ (N=368)

Food	Frequency ²		
	Every school-day	2-5 times/week	Once/week
Breakfast foods & drink			
White rice (n=323)	53 (16.4)	268 (83.0)	2 (0.6)
Instant noodle (n=164)	10 (6.1)	111 (67.7)	43 (26.2)
Fried-rice (n=144)	4 (2.8)	111 (77.1)	29 (20.1)
Bread/donut (n=118)	2 (1.7)	76 (64.4)	40 (33.9)
Fried-fish (n=123)	21 (17.1)	72 (58.5)	30 (24.4)
Poached-egg (n=249)	16 (6.4)	193 (77.5)	40 (16.1)
Fried-tempeh (n=193)	18 (9.3)	150 (77.7)	25 (13.0)
Fried-tofu (n=110)	7 (6.4)	83 (75.5)	20 (18.2)
Veg soup (n=131)	22 (16.8)	80 (61.1)	29 (22.1)
Stir veg (n=98)	6 (6.1)	69 (70.4)	23 (23.5)
Mineral water (n=314)	148 (47.1)	163 (51.9)	3 (1.0)
Tea with added-sugar (n=157)	5 (3.2)	129 (82.2)	23 (14.6)
Condensed-milk (n=148)	5 (3.4)	118 (79.7)	25 (16.8)
Instant powdered milk (n=52)	3 (5.8)	32 (61.5)	17 (32.7)
Tea without added sugar (n=35)	2 (5.7)	25 (71.4)	8 (22.9)
Snacking foods			
Wheat flour based (n=282)	71 (25.2)	202 (71.6)	9 (3.2)
Sago flour based (n=207)	48 (23.2)	154 (74.4)	4 (2.4)
Cassava based (n=25)	1 (4.0)	20 (80.0)	4 (16.0)
Corn based (n=26)	2 (7.7)	15 (57.7)	9 (34.6)
Nuts based (n=50)	3 (6.0)	37 (74.0)	10 (20.0)
Vegetables based (n=101)	12 (11.9)	71 (70.3)	18 (17.8)
Fruit based (n=72)	5 (6.9)	46 (63.9)	21 (29.2)
Meat/Chicken based (n=105)	16 (15.2)	73 (69.5)	16 (15.2)
Milk based (n=65)	7 (10.8)	43 (66.2)	15 (23.1)
Others (n=212)	64 (30.2)	141 (66.5)	7 (3.3)

¹All value are n (%) otherwise indicated; ²Developed food frequency questionnaire by market survey surrounding the school area

Table 5
Multivariable regression analysis of the association of parental-children factors with school children's HAZ and BAZ¹ (n=365)

Predictors	HAZ ²			p-value	BAZ ³		p-value
	%	Unadjusted β ⁴ (95% CI)	Adjusted β ⁵ (95% CI)		Unadjusted β ⁴ (95% CI)	Adjusted β ⁵ (95% CI)	
Model 1							
Parental factors							
Mother's schooling, <9 years	84.8	-0.44 (-0.76; -0.11)*	-0.204 (-0.598; 0.189)	0.308	0.23 (-0.20; 0.67)	0.138 (-0.416; 0.692)	0.626
Father's schooling ⁶ , <9 years	72.3	-0.41 (-0.67; -0.15)*	-0.312 (-0.622; -0.001)	0.049*	0.14 (-0.20; 0.49)	0.089 (-0.349; 0.526)	0.691
Working mother ⁷ , yes	13.6	-0.03 (-0.37; 0.31)	0.001 (-0.341; 0.343)	0.995	-0.12 (-0.58; 0.34)	-0.166 (-0.647; 0.315)	0.498
Number of children, <3	60.3	0.18 (-0.06; 0.42)	0.113 (-0.122; 0.347)	0.346	-0.06 (-0.26; 0.39)	0.043 (-0.287; 0.373)	0.799
Children's factors							
Children sex, boys	48.6	-0.074 (-0.31; 0.16)	0.002 (-0.229; 0.233)	0.988	-0.026 (-0.340; 0.288)	0.012 (-0.313; 0.337)	0.942
Children's age, ≤10.2 year	50.0	0.38 (0.15; 0.61)*	0.380 (0.147; 0.612)	0.001*	0.11 (-0.20; 0.42)	0.107 (-0.219; 0.434)	0.519
Regular breakfast, yes	91.6	-0.68 (-1.09;-0.26)*	-0.631 (-1.047; -0.214)	0.003*	0.04 (-0.53; 0.60)	-0.022 (-0.608; 0.565)	0.942
Snacking frequency _{≥3x/d}	54.3	0.12 (-0.12; 0.35)	0.213 (-0.025; 0.451)	0.080	0.01 (-0.30; 0.33)	0.021 (-0.315; 0.356)	0.903
Physical activity							
Light	23.6	Reference	Reference		Reference	Reference	
Medium	37.8	-0.07 (-0.37;0.24)	0.043 (-0.262; 0.348)	0.782	-0.06 (-0.47; 0.35)	-0.058 (-0.488; 0.371)	0.789
Heavy	38.6	-0.18(-0.48; 0.13)	-0.085 (-0.390; 0.221)	0.585	-0.22 (-0.63; 0.19)	-0.182 (-0.612; 0.248)	0.405
Diarrheal history, yes	20.7	-0.22 (-0.50; 0.07)	-0.252 (-0.541; 0.037)	0.087	0.01 (-0.37; 0.40)	0.014 (-0.393; 0.420)	0.947
Model 2							
Parental factors							
Mother's schooling, <9 years	84.8	-0.44 (-0.76; -0.11)*	-0.185 (-0.578; 0.209)	0.357	0.23 (-0.20; 0.67)	0.157 (-0.399; 0.712)	0.580
Father's schooling ⁶ , <9 years	72.3	-0.41 (-0.67; -0.15)*	-0.607 (-1.067; -0.148)*	0.010	0.14 (-0.20; 0.49)	-0.178 (-0.827; 0.471)	0.590
Working mother ⁷ , yes	13.6	-0.03 (-0.37; 0.31)	0.000 (-0.341; 0.342)	0.999	-0.12 (-0.58; 0.34)	-0.167 (-0.648; 0.315)	0.496
Number of children, <3	60.3	0.18 (-0.06; 0.42)	0.113 (-0.121; 0.348)	0.342	-0.06 (-0.26; 0.39)	0.044 (-0.286; 0.375)	0.791
Children's factors							
Children sex, boys	48.6	-0.074 (-0.31; 0.16)	-0.224 (0.668; 0.221)	0.323	-0.03 (-0.340; 0.288)	-0.276 (-0.903; 0.351)	0.387
Children's age, ≤10.2 year	50.0	0.38 (0.15; 0.61)*	0.189 (-0.257; 0.634)	0.405	0.11 (-0.20; 0.42)	-0.001 (-0.629; 0.628)	0.998
Regular breakfast, yes	91.6	-0.68 (-1.09;-0.26)*	-0.644 (-1.061; -0.227)*	0.003	0.04 (-0.53; 0.60)	-0.028 (-0.617; 0.560)	0.925
Snacking frequency _{≥3x/d}	54.3	0.12 (-0.12; 0.35)	0.214 (-0.024; 0.453)	0.078	0.01 (-0.30; 0.33)	0.024 (-0.312; 0.360)	0.887
Physical activity							
Light	23.6	Reference	Reference		Reference	Reference	
Medium	37.8	-0.07 (-0.37;0.24)	0.045 (-0.260; 0.350)	0.771	-0.06 (-0.47; 0.35)	-0.054 (-0.484; 0.376)	0.804
Heavy	38.6	-0.18(-0.48; 0.13)	-0.086 (-0.392; 0.219)	0.579	-0.22 (-0.63; 0.19)	-0.179 (-0.611; 0.252)	0.414
Diarrheal history, yes	20.7	-0.22 (-0.50; 0.07)	-0.229 (-0.519; 0.061)	0.121	0.01 (-0.37; 0.40)	0.035 (-0.374; 0.444)	0.866
Father's schooling * Children Sex	--	--	0.289 (-0.228; 0.807)	0.272	--	0.180 (-0.550; 0.910)	0.628
Father's schooling* Children Age	--	--	0.330 (-0.189; 0.849)	0.212	--	0.379 (-0.354; 1.111)	0.310

¹Enter method; ²Height-for-age z score; ³Body mass index for age z score; ⁴Simple linear regression; ⁵adjusted for parental schooling years, mother working status, number of children, children sex, age, regular breakfast, snacking frequency, physical activity level, and diarrheal history at last one month; ⁶N=367; ⁷N=366; *significant at p<0.05

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we found that father's schooling years or educational status are significantly associated with school children HAZ. This finding is in line with study of Rodriguez-Llanes, J. M., Ranjan-Dash, S., Mukhopadhyay, A., & Guha-Sapir, D¹⁶ who reported that father's schooling years was a significant predictor for children 6-59 months' nutritional status (wasting and stunting) in India's post-flood rural settings. The higher the father's schooling years the lower risk of stunting among the children after adjusted by child's age and per head annual income of household members. Study by Galgamuwa et al (2017) also confirmed that father's educational status was associated with children nutritional status in Plantation Community, Sri Lanka. In detail they find that father with complete secondary school is being a protective factor to under-nutrition of preschool children after adjusted by potential confounding¹⁷. In contrast with those, several study found that the mother educational status which associated with children nutritional status. Study by Abuya et al (2012) found that mother's educational level are associated with children 0-40 months's nutritional status in urban slum area of Nairobi.¹⁸ Srivastava et al, in the same year to Abuya et al, also reported that school children from urban slum area in India were at higher risk for malnutrition if mothers had low schooling years.¹⁹

These contrasts might derived from different cultural aspect within the area of studies. Particularly in current study, father in rural area may have more time to contribute in their children's care if compare to father in urban area. Fathers may directly or indirectly influence family eating pattern, access of health service, and the mother's care pattern thus influence the children's nutritional and health status. This argument is supported by Semba et al in 2008 showed In Indonesia, fathers educational status may associate with use of health services.²⁰ They found that households whose fathers had high educational status tend to have good health care, high coverage of good sanitation practice, use of vitamin A capsule, children immunization, Iodine-fortified salt, and others health services. Study of Rodriguez-Llanes, et al¹⁶ and Khattak et al²¹

also confirmed that highly educated fathers give more care of their children and provide health facilities when they need. Moreover Khattak et al study in India, occupied father may contributed in household income and better food access for the children. Genetically, parents anthropometric measurement may also contribute in children's physical stature of children^{15,19} but in daily practice parents influenced the children nutritional status by influencing their dietary intake. Children intake mostly depend on their parents since the parents are responsible in food purchasing and preparation at home.⁹⁻¹¹ Study by Chong et al (2017) found that children fruit intake was associated with parental educational status. The more educated parent the greater children fruit intakes²² since the parent prepare or provide it at home. To another extent, parents are a good role model for children eating patterns where more educated parents will show a better eating pattern. It was supported by Meriska et al.¹¹ and Hermina, Afriansyah, & Jahari¹² which showed that children are trying to mimic their parent's food choice and eating pattern before they mimic others such as friends and food advertisements. However except from parents, Francesco Burchi⁸ highlighted that other literate household member such as uncle, grand father etc had a significantly, though limited, effect on child nutritional status, particularly children height. At last, current finding support previous study in the same area by Rahayu et al¹⁵. They found that father's schooling year was significantly associated with stunting prevalence among underfive children after controlling for potential confounding factors. Our study together with other^{15-19,21} show the important role of parents schooling years as determinants of the children nutritional and health status.

In addition or as other interesting findings, our study find that school children with regular breakfast habits were significantly associated with lower height-for-age z score (HAZ) if compared to those with irregular breakfast habits. The result is in contrast with other established studies such as Pereira et al (2011)²³ and McCrory & Campbell (2011)²⁴ which found that regular breakfast may significantly associated with good nutritional status and capacity to conduct daily activities. However, our result showed that except for fat intake, others macronutrients and energy

intakes were lower than its median. It seems that their regular breakfast habits already good but the food portions or nutrient quality was not balance. Hardinsyah et al (2012)²⁵ found that almost 50% of school children breakfast meal are in low nutrient quality. Good breakfast meal should fulfill 15-30% of the daily energy and nutrient requirement. Study of Putri et al²⁶ reported that imbalance meal may associate with either undernutrition or overnutrition of school children age 10-12 years old. As summary, these findings implied the important role of parent, particularly the father, in translating the nutrition and health messages into practice such as purchasing and preparation of right food for children at home. All findings may recommend the policy makers toward the importance of literacy or education as nutrition sensitive intervention in sustainable improvement of children nutritional and health status.

As limitation, study in school children may lead to specific bias since children could not understand something abstract such as frequency of infectious disease and did not remember the frequency of snacking in the last two weeks. However, research members tried to assist by explaining the questions by their requestor how to fill the questionnaire. For the snacking frequency questionnaire; we conduct market study at school canteens to ensure the food items on the food lists is identified by the samples. In addition, this study did not included the other potential confounding such as parental anthropometric measurements, household hygiene and sanitation practices. For hygiene and sanitation, we assumed that the situation was similar among the household in the study area. For the parental anthropometric was not measured, there was lack of resource for visiting the parents.

CONCLUSIONS

Parental factors, particularly father's schooling years is a significant predictor for school children nutritional status in rural are in Tangerang. Father's may influence household's food availability and accessibility thus lead to school children food preferences (eating pattern) and nutritional status.

RECOMMENDATION

Nutrition sensitive intervention should be emphasized on parental factor, particularly paternall educational status in rural area of Tangerang District. In addition, it is suggested for the health officers to promote the healthy and balance meal breakfast among school children

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to express our deepest gratitude to the school teacher, children, and their parents who participated in this study. Our sincere thanks also go to the public health services' officers for their continuous support.

REFERENCES

1. Martins VJ, Florêncio TMT, Grillo LP, Maria do Carmo PF, Martins PA, Clemente APG, et al. Long-Lasting Effects of Undernutrition. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2011;8(6):1817.
2. De Pergola G, Silvestris F. Obesity as a major risk factor for cancer. *J Obes*. 2013;2013.
3. Sahoo K, Sahoo B, Choudhury AK, Sofi NY, Kumar R, Bhadoria AS. Childhood obesity: causes and consequences. *J Fam Med Prim Care*. 2015;4(2):187.
4. National Institute of Health Research and Development: Population Health Basic Health Research - RISKESDAS 2013. Indonesia Jakarta: Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia Jakarta; 2013
5. Sandjaja S, Budiman B, Harahap H, Ernawati F, Soekatri M, Widodo Y, et al. Food consumption and nutritional and biochemical status of 0-5-12-year-old Indonesian children: the SEANUTS study. *Br J Nutr*. 2013;110(S3):S11-20.
6. World Health Organization. Nutrition Landscape Information System (NLIS). Ctry Profile Indic Interpret Guide Nutr Landsc Inf Syst NLIS Geneva WHO. 2010.

7. Kementerian Kesehatan RI I. Rencana Strategis Kementerian Kesehatan tahun 2015-2019. 2015;
8. Burchi F. Whose education affects a child's nutritional status? From parents' to household's education. *Demogr Res.* 2012;27:681-704.
9. Nicklas T, Hayes D. Position of the American Dietetic Association: nutrition guidance for healthy children ages 2 to 11 years. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2008;108(6):1038-44.
10. BPOM R. Pedoman pangan jajanan anak sekolah untuk pencapaian gizi seimbang: orang tua, guru dan pengelola kantin. Jakarta: Direktorat SPP Badan POM RI; 2013. 29 p.
11. Meriska I, Pramudho K, Murwanto B. Perilaku sarapan pagi anak sekolah dasar. *J Kesehat.* 2016;5(1).
12. Hermina H, Afriansyah N, Jahari AB. Efek Intervensi Pendidikan Berbasis Sekolah Terhadap Tingkat Pengetahuan Tentang Pencegahan Kegemukan Di Antara Anak-Anak Usia 9-10 Tahun Di Kota Bandung. *Penelit Gizi Dan Makanan J Nutr Food Res.* 2008;31(2).
13. Anzarkusuma IS, Mulyani EY, Jus'at I, Angkasa D. Status Gizi Berdasarkan Pola Makan Anak Sekolah Dasar Di Kecamatan Rajeg Tangerang (Nutritional Status Based On Primary School Student's Dietary Intake In Rajeg District Tangerang City). *Indones J Hum Nutr.* 2014;1(2):135-48.
14. Angkasa D, Sitoayu L, Putri VR, Mulyadi M. Peduli Sarapan Dan Makanan Sehat, Serta Higiene Dan Sanitasi Lingkungan Sekolah Pada Siswa Sekolah Dasar Di Kecamatan Sepatan Timur. *J Pengabd Masy AbdiMas.* 2017;3(2).
15. Rahayu LS, Julia M, Gunawan I. Associated of Height of Parents with Changes of Stunting Status from 6-12 months to 3-4-years. 2011;
16. Rodriguez-Llanes JM, Ranjan-Dash S, Mukhopadhyay A, Guha-Sapir D. Looking upstream: enhancers of child nutritional status in post-flood rural settings. *PeerJ.* 2016;4:e1741.
17. Galgamuwa LS, Iddawela D, Dharmaratne SD, Galgamuwa G. Nutritional status and correlated socio-economic factors among preschool and school children in plantation communities, Sri Lanka. *BMC Public Health.* 2017;17(1):377.
18. Abuya BA, Ciera J, Kimani-Murage E. Effect of mother's education on child's nutritional status in the slums of Nairobi. *BMC Pediatr.* 2012;12(1):80.
19. Srivastava A, Mahmood SE, Srivastava PM, Shrotriya VP, Kumar B. Nutritional status of school-age children-A scenario of urban slums in India. *Arch Public Health.* 2012;70(1):8.
20. Semba RD, de Pee S, Sun K, Sari M, Akhter N, Bloem MW. Effect of parental formal education on risk of child stunting in Indonesia and Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study. *The Lancet.* 2008;371(9609):322-8.
21. Khattak UK, Iqbal SP, Ghazanfar H. The Role of Parents' Literacy in Malnutrition of Children Under the Age of Five Years in a Semi-Urban Community of Pakistan: A Case-Control Study. *Cureus.* 2017;9(6).
22. Chong KH, Lee ST, Ng SA, Khouw I, Poh BK. Fruit and Vegetable Intake Patterns and Their Associations with Sociodemographic Characteristics, Anthropometric Status and Nutrient Intake Profiles among Malaysian Children Aged 1-6 Years. *Nutrients.* 2017;9(8):723.
23. Pereira MA, Erickson E, McKee P, Schrankler K, Raatz SK, Lytle LA, et al. Breakfast Frequency and Quality May Affect Glycemia and Appetite in Adults and Children-4. *J Nutr.* 2010;141(1):163-8.
24. McCrory MA, Campbell WW. Effects of Eating Frequency, Snacking, and Breakfast Skipping on Energy Regulation: Symposium Overview2. *J Nutr.* 2010;141(1):144-7.
25. Hardinsyah H, Aries M. Jenis pangan sarapan dan perannya dalam asupan gizi

- harian anak usia 6—12 tahun di indonesia. *J Gizi Dan Pangan*. 2016;7(2).
26. Putri VR, Angkasa D, Nuzrina R. Konsumsi Fast Food, Soft Drink, Aktivitas Fisik, dan Kejadian Overweight Siswa Sekolah Dasar di Jakarta. *Indones J Hum Nutr*. 2017;4(1):48–58.